







eXtended Reality for Education and Training

Ph.D. candidate — Filippo Gabriele Pratticò XXXIV Cycle – Doctoral Dissertation – Computer and Control Engineering

Commitee

Prof. Sofia Seinfeld Tarafa, **Referee**, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Prof. Lucio Tommaso De Paolis, **Referee**, Università del Salento Dr. Giacinto Barresi, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT) Dr. Fabrizio Nunnari, German Research Center for AI (DFKI) Prof. Andrea Bottino, Politecnico di Torino Academic Advisor

Prof. Fabrizio Lamberti, Politecnico di Torino

eXtended Reality Technologies and Media



XR for Simulation-based Training



Traditional

- Dangerous
- Expensive
- Variable

Simulation-based

- Safe
- Cost-effectives
- Repeatable



[2] Mossel, Annette, et al. "VROnSite: Towards immersive training of first responder squad leaders in untethered virtual reality." IEEE Virtual Reality (VR), 2017
 [3] Rantanen, Esa M., and Donald A. Talleur. "Incremental transfer and cost effectiveness of groundbased flight trainers in university aviation programs." Human Factors and Ergonomic

Open Problems | Research Gap

• Scalability

- Human or physical resource-dependent
- Automatic and objective assessment/evaluation
- Effectiveness
 - Controversial & task-dependent
- Limited evidence against "real-world" scenarios
 - Yet not able to fully replace traditional learning systems (when desirable)
- Sticking to traditional pedagogical models
- Focus on the trainees → overlooking training provisioners perspective
- Fidelity (Interaction, Haptics, Multiple users, ...)



Goal & Agenda



Scalability

Self-Learning

XRTSs for Machine Tasks (MTs)

Motivation & Research Gap:

- Automatic (no human trainer)
 - Replace traditional training
- Use cases in worforce development and Industry 4.0
 - MTs are challenging from a didactic standpoint
 - Companies' training systems are articulated
- MR Training Systems (MRTSs) effective but not scalable
- VR Training Systems (VRTSs) lack of validation against "real-world" learning systems (for MTs)





VRTSs for Machine Tasks (MTs)

Study GoalTo frame the status of state-of-the-artAutomatic VRTSs for the Self-Tuition of MTs



Research questions addressed:

Q1: Automatic VRTS effectiveness comparable with traditional training?

Q.2: Is training experience with a self-learning VRTS less satisfactory than a human instructor-based one?



VRTSs – Case Study in Industrial Robotics Scalability | Self-Learning

Use Case – IR Mastering Procedure (MT)

- Procedural knowledge
- Error recovery sub-procedures (analytical thinking)
- Spatial awareness/Safety evaluation
- Equipment management
- System status evaluation

Scaffolding / Guidance System

- Step-by-Step instructions
 - MR-like hints & indications
 - Voice-over

Consumer grade HMD and controllers





VRTSs – Case Study in Industrial Robotics Scalability | Self-Learning





VRTSs – Case Study in Industrial Robotics Scalability | Self-Learning



Pros/Ties

- <u>Comparable Effectiveness (Overall score) [Q1]</u>
- <u>Comparable Perceived Training Effectiveness and</u>
 <u>Overall Satisfaction [Q2]</u>
- Comparable Errors (major/minor) [Q1]
- Spatial Awareness [Q1]
- Remarkably shorter Training time (3x) [Q1/2]

Cons

Parallax Dependent Tasks

Due to Scaffolding on

- Higher Mental workload (still acceptable) [Q2]
- Higher Frustration and Annoiance [Q2]
- Less effective self-efficacy boost [Q2]
- Way too careful in equipment management





"PDTs are a subset of machine tasks in which the operator is required not only to **look at** a specific point-of-interest (POI) but also to do that **from** a given observation point (POV)"





Motivation & Research Gap:

- Lack of PDT-specific techniques
 - Focus on full-body pose matching
 - Focus on target location in the VE (no head-pose)
 - Focus on POIs only
- Lack of evaluation about training and learning aspects





Study Goal

Proposing 3 *metaphors* to be used in XRTS to teach PDTs and evaluate them from a learning perspective



PDTs TESTBED	Local (L)	Spatial (S)	Body-Coordinated (C)		
Direct (D)					
Remote (R)					



Picture-in-Picture Video (P)

Scaffolding Metaphors for PDTs Teaching

Avatar (A)

Frustum (F)











Picture-in-Picture Video (P)



Scaffolding Metaphors for PDTs Teaching





Scaffolding Metaphors for PDTs Teaching

Avatar (A)





Scaffolding Metaphors for PDTs Teaching

Frustum (F)







Audio feedback on task completion

• Skill transfer





HCI Aspects (s)

			-				
	Р	F	А	P-F-A	P-F	P-A	F-A
Overall	3	1	2	<.001	.003	.012	.751
Assertive	3	2	1	<.001	.009	.002	.643
Learnability	3	2	1	.003	.044	.032	.930
Affordance	3	1	2	<.001	.003	.012	.751
Mental workload*	3	1	2	.010	.044	.113	.850
Efficiency	3	1	2	<.001	.003	.012	.751
Ambiguity*	3	1	2	<.001	.009	.012	.982
Ease of use	3	2	1	<.001	.003	.012	.751
Latency*	3	2	1	<.001	.009	.005	.930
Frustration*				.642	.982	.643	.751
Boredom*	3	2	1	.010	.113	.044	.850
Visibility				.778	.751	.930	.930
SUS score (SD)	74.8(17.4)	86.3(7.5)	86.0(7.3)	.031	.030	.021	599



[* Kruskal-Wallis -Friedman & Conover post-hoc p-value ≤ 0.05

- F and A surmounted P
- No clear winner in HCI between F-A
- F performing better than A in
 - VRTS Perception (suitability, overall)
 - Objective Scores (POV <R,S>)
 - A-F-P Comparable information recall
 - P trainees sometimes successfully recalled a WRONG head-pose

mberti, F. *"Look at it this way: A comparison of metaphors for directing the user's gaze in* 7th International Conference of the Immersive Learning Research Network (2021)

Pedagogical Agents

Pedagogical Models Ecology

Pedagogical Agents (PAs) in XRTSs

- Social elements of training experience
 - Reintroducing the human counterpart
- PAs \rightarrow Life-like characters
 - Self-tutor, Motivator, Mentor, Learning companion, ...
 - Social agency theory \rightarrow priming social presence
- PAs in XRTSs:
 - Controversial effectiveness, e.g., Teacher PA boosts conceptual learning gains but dwindle factual information grasping [5]
 - Mostly limited to the teacher role or Non-Player Characters (NPC)



[5] Petersen, G. B., Mottelson, A., & Makransky, G. *"Pedagogical agents in educational VR: An in the wild study",* CHI 2021 [6] Heidig, S., & Clarebout, G. "Do pedagogical agents make a difference to student motivation and learning?. Educational Research Review, 2011

Robots as PAs in MRTSs



Pedagogical Models in Intelligent Training Systems



Traditional Learning (TL)



Learning-by-Teaching (LBT)





Scaffolding (Guidance System)



[Robotic] Teachable Agents (RTAs)

Learning-by-Teaching with Robotic PA in MRTSs Pedagogical Agents | Pedagogical models

Motivation & Research Gap:

- LBT vs TL (previous work, people & RTA)
 - Slightly better knowledge transfer
 - Way better long-term knowledge retention
 - More time consuming (Inefficient)
- Previous studies on RTAs are promising
- The addition of MR can be harmful for the LBT approach (social agency)?



Interain

F. G. Pratticò, F. N. Merino, and F. Lamberti, *"Is Learning by Teaching an Effective Approach in Mixed-Reality Robotic Training Systems?", Intetain 2020* (Best Paper Award)



Interain

F. G. Pratticò, F. N. Merino, and F. Lamberti, *"Is Learning by Teaching an Effective Approach in Mixed-Reality Robotic Training Systems?", Intetain 2020* (Best Paper Award)

Learning-by-Teaching with Robotic PA in MRTSs Pedagogical Agents | Pedagogical models



F. G. Pratticò.

Systems?", In:

* Pre-training Post-training ■TL ■LBT * After-training After-1 week

Learning Gains

- LBT higher
 - Self-efficacy
 - Confidence about knowledge
- LBT comparable to TL
 - Perceived training quality and satisfaction
- Both TL and LBT effective learning gains
- LBT superior long-term retention
 - In line with non-immersive ITSs
 - Viable approach in MRTSs w/ RTA

g by Teaching an Effective Approach in Mixed-Reality Robotic Training

Synchronous Remote Learning

Motivations & Research Gap:

- Easier to deploy vs. Asynchronous (MOOC), especially as temporary/transistional/fallback solution
 - More similar to traditional classroom
 - Video conferencing platforms (& Social-VR)





Synchronous Remote Learning

Motivations & Research Gap:

- Easier to deploy vs. Asynchronous (MOOC), especially as temporary/transistional/fallback solution
 - More similar to traditional classroom
 - Video conferencing platforms (& Social-VR)
- Social-related issues
 - Emotional/empathic barriers
 - Bashful students
 - Higher social pressure \rightarrow Less teacher-student interactions







Study Goal

Stimulate the interaction between the teacher and the students in synchronous VR-based remote learning platforms by means of an **``undercover''** Conversational Agent (**CA**) acting as a **participating student**



Using a Bot (*Conversational Agent*) - behaving as an "exemplar" student:

- Interaction Ice-breaker
- Break awkward silence
- Relieving social pressure
- Stimulate interaction of real-students



F. G. Pratticò, J.A. Shabkhoslati, N. Shaghaghi, and F. Lamberti, "Bot Undercover: On the Use of Conversational Agents to Stimulate Teacher-Students Interaction in Remote Learning", IEEE VR Workshops 2022



(CA) Student-Teacher Interaction Patterns

- 1. Student (asking for) Clarification
- 2. Teacher Question (incl. redirect of 1)
- 3. Student Answer (to 2)
- 4. Teacher Lecture (incl. answer to 1)





F. G. Pratticò, J.A. Shabkhoslati, N. Shaghaghi, and F. Lamberti, "Bot Undercover: On the Use of Conversational Agents to Stimulate Teacher-Students Interaction in Remote Learning", IEEE VR Workshops 2022

Sample:

16 volunteers (n=8) Aged [16 - 52] y.o. (μ = 23.5, σ = 5.0)

Between-Subjects Design:

- [CAG] Group with the <u>active CA</u>
- [NCAG] Group <u>without the CA</u>, replaced with an additional Dummy student

Objective Metrics:

- Learning Gain (QUIZ)
- N° Interactions
- [Activity Rate] $AR_{ST/CA} = \frac{n^{\circ} utterance (ST|CA)}{n^{\circ} utterance Teacher}$

Subjective Metrics:

- Behavioral, Emotional, Cognitive Engagement (BEC-ENG)
- Perceived Features of the CA (Godspeed-Q)
- [Interference of CA on] Social Presence of the Teacher (NMMSQ)
- Social Pressure, CA's Perceived Value, CA's impact on Learning Experience, Overall Satisfaction (AD-HOC)



F. G. Pratticò, J.A. Shabkhoslati, N. Shaghaghi, and F. Lamberti, *"Bot Undercover: On the Use of Conversational Agents to Stimulate Teacher-Students Interaction in Remote Learning", IEEE VR Workshops 2022*



- CAG more enjoyable class experience
- CAG more prone to ask for clarification
- CAG lower social pressure
- CAG agent aided understanding the teacher
- CAG <u>perceived</u> better learning performance vs. NCAG
 - <u>NOT</u> confirmed by objective metrics
- No Sig. difference for the Social Presence (NMMSQ)
 - No influence on reciprocal social presence (teacher-student)
- CA Perceived as:
 - Intelligent, friendly peer
 - Not distracting
 - Perceived as controlled by a human
 - Not in cahoots with the teacher

* MW-U test (2 tails) p-value ≤ 0.05

Shaghaghi, and F. Lamberti, "Bot Undercover: On the Use of Conversational Agents to tion in Remote Learning", IEEE VR Workshops 2022

Mockup

Motivations & Research Gap:

- So far, main focus on learners
- Raising interest in authoring tools to help TPs with XRTSs design
 - E.g., "no-code" solutions, for unskilled TPs
- Design requirements may vary based on the training context

Study Goal

Explore the use of a VRTS as **mock-up** tool to support Training Provisioners in the design of **experiential** courses, and evaluate it against a commonplace training design approach, Dramaturgy Prototyping.





Use Case: High Capacity Pumping

- Arranging a exercise to be deployed in the real-world
- Integrating "lessons from the past"
- Outdoor
 - Large-scale
 - Cumbersome equipment and noisy/polluting machines
- Multi-operator coordination and teamwork



VR Mockup Tool (VRMT) implementation



Use Case: High Capacity Pumping

- Arranging a exercise to be deployed in the real-world
- Integrating "lessons from the past"
- Outdoor
 - Large-scale
 - Cumbersome equipment and noisy/polluting machines
- Multi-operator coordination and teamwork



applied sciences

Pratticò F.G., De Lorenzis F., Calandra D., Cannavò A., and Lamberti F. *"Exploring simulation-based Virtual Reality as a mock-up tool to support the design of first responders training "*Applied Sciences (2021)

Sample:

2 Experienced Trainers

Within-Subjects Design:

- [DP] Executing the DP
- [VRMT] Observing 3 trainees with different levels of proficiency

The 5 Steps of Dramaturgy Prototyping (DP)

- Location and material setup (blackboards, stationery, cardboard props, etc.)
- 2. Deliberate Objectives, Brainstorming, and Outline (notes, sketches, and reference material can be used)
- 3. Gaining consensus on a peer-drafted storyboard
- 4. Acting the designed training procedure
- 5. Iterate 2-4 till satisfied by the resulting design



Pratticò F.G., De Lorenzis F., Calandra D., Cannavò A., and Lamberti F. *"Exploring simulation-based Virtual Reality as a mock-up tool to support the design of first responders training "*Applied Sciences (2021)



Key Findings

- TPs found the VRMT as valuable in backing training design
 - «as-is» deployability
- TPs able to analyze and dissect factors contributing to operators' mistakes
- VRMT better than DP
 - Validating training structure and organization
 - Identifying setbacks and flaws
- DP better than VRMT
 - Faster iteration on the prototype

applied sciences

Pratticò F.G., De Lorenzis F., Calandra D., Cannavò A., and Lamberti F. *"Exploring simulation-based Virtual Reality as a mock-up tool to support the design of first responders training "*Applied Sciences (2021)

Conclusions

Conclusions

Scalability Self-Learning

- XRTSs' learning perfomance on a par w/ «real-world» TL for *mixed-task* training
- Guidance Systems can be successfully adapted to peculiar tasks (PDTs)
- GS lacks flexibility and social-related aspects

Pedagogical Agents Pedagogical Models Ecology

- Potential for roles different than the pedagogue
- Effectively enables different pedagogical models (LBT)
- Ameliorate social aspects and virtuous students' behavior
 - Attention
 - Enjoyability
 - Participation

Training Provisioners' Perspective Mockup

- Effectively aid training design
- Need to provide authoring tools to iterate faster
- Allow more freedom to TPs when revising

Future Work









Authoring tools for XRTSs Adaptive Scaffolding

Pedagogical agents AI and real-time adaptation

Further validation with larger/fitter samples

Main Achievements Ph.D.



Research Output

8 Journal papers (+2 UR) 19 Conference papers

2 Book chapters



Participation to Research Projects 3 Funded by Companies

1 Funded by Piedmont Region



Dissemination Activities 7 Physical Events (Turin, Rome)

2 Online (during pandemic)



Awards and Prizes

2 Quality Awards assigned by the Board of our PhD program

2 Best Paper Awards

Learning Activities 129 hard skills hours 55 soft skills hours



Teaching Activities Teaching Assistant for *Computer Science* and *Computer Animation* Courses



Contribution to the scientific community

- 1. Reviewer for Journals (16 papers)
- 2. Reviewer for Conferences (32 papers)
- Invited member of the "Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality, and Displays (VAR)" Technical Committee of the IEEE Consumer Technology Society (CTSoc)
- 4. Serving as Technical Program Committee (**TPC**) member of 3 Conferences
- 5. Session Chair in ICCE-Berlin 2019 Conference
- 6. Serving as Awards Chair (**Organizing Commitee**) for iLRN 2023



Q&A

eXtended Reality for Education and Training Ph.D. candidate – Filippo Gabriele Pratticò